	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/661,772	HOLLANDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PHILIP WANG	2191
	PHILIP WANG	2191
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate common GHTS. This application is s	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>response filed on 10/9</u>	<u>92009</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-23</u> .		
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of In	formal Patent Application
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date		Amendment/Comment
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner's 9. ⊠ Other <u>PTO</u>	Statement of Reasons for Allowance -413B.
/Philip R. Wang/ 12/19/2009		
Patent Examiner		

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to amendment filed on 10/9/2009.

2. Claims 1-23 are allowed.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in communication with Jun Y. Lee (Reg. No. 40,262) on December 16, 2009 to obviate any potential issues and to put the claims in condition for allowance.

3. The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 1. (Currently amended) A method stored on a computer readable medium including computer executable instructions for automatically generating at least one test program from a set of scenarios for testing a simulation model of a device under test (DUT) in a test environment during a test verification process, the method comprising:

providing a plurality of scenarios, each scenario containing at least one operation and at least one constraint indicative of which compatibility with at least one other scenarios may be compatible therewith for the test program;

Art Unit: 2191

selectively defining a set of scenarios from said plurality of scenarios according to said constraints thereof by resolving conflicts among said constraints, said set of scenarios excluding conflicting scenarios; and

automatically generating the test program by combining said set of scenarios to provide at least one operation as input for driving simulated operation of the DUT.

-end of currently amended claims-

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The cited prior art taken alone or in combination fail to teach the limitation "providing a plurality of scenarios, each scenario containing at least one operation and at least one constraint indicative of which other scenarios may be compatible therewith for the test program;

selectively defining a set of scenarios from said plurality of scenarios according to said constraints thereof by resolving conflicts among said constraints, said set of scenarios excluding conflicting scenarios; and

automatically generating the test program by combining said set of scenarios to provide at least one operation as input for driving simulated operation of the DUT." as recited in independent claim 1.

Art Unit: 2191

The instant application is related to constraint-driven test generation for a simulated operation of a DUT (Device Under Test). Based on the examiner's search, constraint-driven test generation for simulated DUT can be categorized into internal constraints, external constraints and randomly generated constraints. Internal constraints is based on the internal model of the simulated DUT and specifying constraints related to components of the internal model of the DUT. External constraints are based rules that apply to external input patterns to be simulated. Random generated test is based on a set of rules that can be applied to the test generation. In either category, whether a scenario is in conflict with another scenario is not directly specified in the definition of the scenario. A conflict associated with a scenario is not known until constraints are applied. The instant application directly specifies which scenarios are in conflict with a particular scenario and then resolve the conflicts when multiple scenarios are considered by excluding conflicting ones.

The closest cited prior arts; USPGN 2002/0040457 by Noy teaches a method of generating tests in simulation environment based on constraints and appears to not disclose the above limitation as well.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2191

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-5934. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8 - 44:00PM. Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Philip R. Wang/ 12/19/2009

Patent Examiner